

Advanced Policy-Gradient Algorithms

Adrien Bolland (adrien.bolland@uliege.be)

Advanced On-Policy Algorithms

Off-Policy Policy Gradient

Advanced On-Policy Algorithms

Policy Gradient Theorem

Theorem (Policy Gradient Theorem)

For any differentiable policy π_θ , the policy gradient of $J(\pi_\theta)$ is

$$\nabla_\theta J(\pi_\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s_0 \sim p_0(\cdot) \\ a_t \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s_t) \\ s_{t+1} \sim T(\cdot|s_t, a_t)}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t Q^{\pi_\theta}(s_t, a_t) \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a_t|s_t) \right].$$

Theorem (Policy Gradient Theorem 2)

For any differentiable policy π_θ , the policy gradient of $J(\pi_\theta)$ is

$$\nabla_\theta J(\pi_\theta) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\gamma, \pi_\theta}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}} [Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s)],$$

where d^{γ, π_θ} is the discounted state visitation probability.

Actor update direction:

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) = \left\langle \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^t \left(\left(\sum_{t'=t}^{T-1} \gamma^{t'-t} r_{t'} + \gamma^T V_{\phi}(s_T) \right) - V_{\phi}(s_t) \right) \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a_t | s_t) \right\rangle_n .$$

Critic update direction:

$$\hat{\nabla} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = \left\langle \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} V_{\phi}(s_t) - \sum_{t'=t}^{T-1} \gamma^{t'-t} r_{t'} - \gamma^{T-t} V_{\phi}(s_T) \right) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \nabla_{\phi} V_{\phi}(s_t) \right) \right\rangle_n .$$

Natural Policy Gradient

- The gradient $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ gives the direction of greater increase of the function J for a **small** vectorial variation $d\theta$.
- What does small mean... for a **norm** $|d\theta| \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{d\theta} & J(\theta + d\theta) \\ \text{s.t.} & |d\theta|^2 = \epsilon^2 \end{array}$$

- How do we compute the norm of a vector in a Euclidean space (with the usual scalar product) in an orthonormal basis?

$$|d\theta|^2 = d\theta^T I d\theta = d\theta^T d\theta$$

But how does a parameter change influence the distribution π_{θ} ?

- **Natural gradients** are gradients accounting for small variation of the (functional) distribution.
- Let us change the norm of $d\theta$ such that it accounts for changes in the underlying distribution.

$$|d\theta|_f^2 = d\theta^T F(\theta) d\theta$$
$$F(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\pi_\theta}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}} \left[(\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s)) (\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s))^T \right]$$

- In fact, we work in a Riemannian space where the manifold is the set of distributions...

We get the natural policy gradient by finding the direction of greater increase of J with the new norm

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{d\theta} & J(\theta + d\theta) \\ \text{s.t.} & |d\theta|_f^2 = \varepsilon^2 \end{array}$$

This optimization problem has a closed form for small ε :

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= a F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \\ a &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{(\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta))^T F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)}} . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Natural Policy Gradient)

The natural policy gradient is given by [Kakade, 2001]

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta) = F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) ,$$

where $F(\theta)$ is the expectation of the Fisher information matrix of the conditional distribution π_{θ} .

- Natural policy gradient ascent is more stable.
- Nevertheless computing $F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ is expensive !

Natural policy gradient needs to (1) estimate the (expected) Fisher information matrix and (2) solve a linear system.

- The **matrix is estimated** based on samples and can be singular or ill-defined...
- Compute the **Moore–Penrose (pseudo) inverse** with, e.g., singular value decomposition.

$$F(\theta) = U \operatorname{diag}(\sigma) V^T$$
$$F(\theta)^{-1} = V \operatorname{diag}(\sigma)^{-1} U^T$$

- We can afterwards solve the **linear system** by matrix multiplication.

As such the method is inefficient and prone to numerical errors.

Approximate the linear system solution with the **conjugate gradient method**.

Can be further accelerated in practice, see readings.

The natural policy gradient can be found by solving directly a **least-squared minimization problem**, typically by **stochastic gradient descent**.

Theorem (Natural Policy Gradient)

The natural policy gradient can be computed as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta) = \arg \min_w \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)}} \left[\left(w^T (\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s)) - Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) \right)^2 \right] .$$

Proof. We write the first-order condition of the problem.

$$\nabla_w \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)}} \left[\left(w^T (\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a|s)) - Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) \right)^2 \right] = 2w^T F(\theta) - 2(\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta))^T = 0$$

Knowing $F(\theta)$ is symmetric, the condition is satisfied for $w = F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$.

- Trust region optimization implements a very similar idea to natural policy gradient.
- We add an **explicit constraint** on the distance between the new policy and the previous one.
- Typically on the KL-divergence.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{d\theta} & J(\theta + d\theta) \\ \text{s.t.} & \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_\theta}(\cdot)} [KL(\pi_\theta(\cdot|s), \pi_{\theta+d\theta}(\cdot|s))] \leq \delta \end{array}$$

- The problem now consists in iteratively finding $d\theta$ and updating the policy.

Trust Region Policy Optimization

Let us approximate the constraint to the second order (for small $d\theta$)

$$D_{KL}(d\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_\theta}(\cdot)} [KL(\pi_\theta(\cdot|s), \pi_{\theta+d\theta}(\cdot|s))]$$

$$D_{KL}(d\theta) \underset{Taylor}{=} D_{KL}(d\theta = 0) + d\theta^T \nabla_{d\theta} D_{KL}(d\theta = 0) + \frac{1}{2} d\theta^T \nabla_{d\theta}^2 D_{KL}(d\theta = 0) d\theta .$$

This expression simplifies as:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{KL}(d\theta) \underset{Taylor}{=} 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{2} d\theta^T F(\theta) d\theta \\ \underset{Taylor}{=} \frac{1}{2} d\theta^T F(\theta) d\theta . \end{aligned}$$

To the second order, the problem boils down to computing the *natural gradient* !

TRPO [Schulman et al., 2015] follows the natural gradient with the **largest step respecting the KL-constraint**...

$$d\theta = \alpha^j \sqrt{\frac{2\delta}{(\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta))^T F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)}} F(\theta)^{-1} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta),$$

where α is the step size, δ is an hyperparameter, and j is found by **line search**.

This algorithm is computationally inefficient... Why ?

Approximate trust region methods:

Schulman, J., Wolski, F., Dhariwal, P., Radford, A., & Klimov, O. (2017).
Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*.

Generalized method for the critic:

Schulman, J., Moritz, P., Levine, S., Jordan, M., & Abbeel, P. (2015).
High-dimensional continuous control using generalized advantage estimation.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.02438.

Off-Policy Policy Gradient

The algorithms relying on the policy gradient theorem are on-policy... and thus sample inefficient.

Let us change the objective function and maximize

$$J_{\beta}(\pi_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\gamma, \beta}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s)}} [Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a)].$$

Maximizing $J_{\beta}(\pi_{\theta})$ looks like a **policy improvement** step in policy iteration...

Theorem (Off-Policy Policy Gradient Theorem)

For any differentiable policy π_θ , the off-policy policy gradient direction is [Degrís et al., 2012]

$$\nabla_\theta J_\beta(\pi_\theta) \approx \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\gamma, \beta}(\cdot) \\ a \sim \beta(\cdot|s)}} \left[\frac{\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}{\beta(\cdot|s)} Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s) \right],$$

where $d^{\gamma, \beta}$ is the discounted state visitation probability of the *behaviour policy*.

For a sufficiently small update step, the return of π_θ is guaranteed to improve.

Lillicrap, T. P., Hunt, J. J., Pritzel, A., Heess, N., Erez, T., Tassa, Y., ... & Wierstra, D. (2015). Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02971.

References

- Sham M Kakade. A natural policy gradient. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 14, 2001.
- John Schulman, Sergey Levine, Pieter Abbeel, Michael Jordan, and Philipp Moritz. Trust region policy optimization. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1889–1897. PMLR, 2015.
- Thomas Degris, Martha White, and Richard S Sutton. Off-policy actor-critic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1205.4839*, 2012.